

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/071,510	CLARK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	1643	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.

(3) _____

 (2) Maria Laccotripe Zacharakis, Ph.D., J.D.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 September 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejection of claims under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to meet the written description and/or enablement requirements; rejection of claims 5 and 12 under § 112, second paragraph, as depending from cancelled claims.

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 7, 8, 12, and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner proposed entry of an Examiner's amendment, which would place this application in condition for allowance; Dr. Zacharakis authorized entry of the proposed amendment.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)